

Table 12: Analysis of Efficacy of GARDASIL in the PPE[†] Population of 16-Through 26-Year-Old Boys and Men for Vaccine HPV Types

Endpoint	GARDASIL		AAHS Control		% Efficacy (95% CI)
	N ^a	Number of cases	N	Number of cases	
External Genital Lesions HPV 6, 11, 16, or 18-related					
External Genital Lesions	1394	3	1404	32	90.6 (70.1, 98.2)
Condyloima	1394	3	1404	28	89.3 (65.3, 97.9)
PN 1/2/3	1394	3	1404	4	100.0 (52.1, 100.0)

^aThe PPE population consisted of individuals who received at least 1 vaccination within 1 year of enrollment, did not have major deviations from the study protocol, and were naive (PCR negative and seronegative) to the relevant HPV type(s) (Types 6, 11, 16, and 18 prior to dose 1 and 1 through 1 month postdose 3 (Month 7)).

^bN = Number of individuals with at least 1 follow-up visit after Month 7.

CI = Confidence Interval

AAHS Control = Amorphous Aluminum Hydroxyphosphate Sulfate

14.3 Prophylactic Efficacy – Anal Disease Caused by HPV Types 6, 11, 16, and 18 in Boys and Men 16 through 26 Years of Age in the MSM Sub-study

A sub-study of Study 5 evaluated the efficacy of GARDASIL against disease in anal intraepithelial neoplasia and anal cancer in a population of 598 MSM. The primary analyses of efficacy were conducted in the per-protocol efficacy (PPE) population consisting of individuals who received at least 1 vaccination within 1 year of enrollment, did not have major deviations from the study protocol, and were naive (PCR negative and seronegative) to the relevant HPV type(s) (Types 6, 11, 16, and 18 prior to dose 1 and 1 through 1 month postdose 3 (Month 7)).

GARDASIL was efficacious in reducing the incidence of anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN) grades 1 and 2 (both condyloma and non-condyloma), and 3 and 4 related to vaccine HPV types 6, 11, 16, and 18 in those boys and men who were PCR negative and seronegative at baseline (Table 13).

Table 13: Analysis of Efficacy of GARDASIL for Anal Disease in the PPE[†] Population of 16-Through 26-Year-Old Boys and Men in the MSM Sub-study for Vaccine HPV Types

HPV 6, 11, 16, or 18-related Endpoint	GARDASIL		AAHS Control		% Efficacy (95% CI)
	N ^a	Number of cases	N	Number of cases	
AIN 1/2/3	194	5	208	24	77.5 (39.6, 93.3)
AIN 2/3	194	3	208	13	74.9 (38.5, 95.4)
AIN 1	194	4	208	16	73.0 (36.3, 93.4)
Condyloima/Acinutoma Non-condyloma	194	0	208	6	100.0 (82.1, 100.0)
	194	4	208	11	60.4 (33.5, 90.8)

^aThe PPE population consisted of individuals who received at least 1 vaccination within 1 year of enrollment, did not have major deviations from the study protocol, and were naive (PCR negative and seronegative) to the relevant HPV type(s) (Types 6, 11, 16, and 18 prior to dose 1 and 1 through 1 month postdose 3 (Month 7)).

^bN = Number of individuals with at least 1 follow-up visit after Month 7.

CI = Confidence Interval

AAHS Control = Amorphous Aluminum Hydroxyphosphate Sulfate

14.4 Population Impact in Girls and Women 16 through 26 Years of Age

Efficacy of GARDASIL in Prevention of HPV Types 6, 11, 16, or 18-Related Genital Disease in Girls and Women 16 Through 26 Years of Age, Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine HPV Types

The clinical trials included girls and women regardless of current or prior exposure to vaccine HPV types, and additional analyses were conducted to evaluate the impact of GARDASIL with respect to HPV 6, 11, 16, and 18-related cervical and genital disease in these girls and women. Here, analyses included events arising among girls and women regardless of baseline PCR status and serostatus, including HPV infections that were present at the start of vaccination as well as events that arose from infections that were acquired after the start of vaccination.

The impact of GARDASIL in girls and women regardless of current or prior exposure to vaccine HPV types is shown in Table 14. Impact was measured starting at Day 1. Prophylactic efficacy denotes the vaccine's efficacy in girls and women who are naive (PCR negative and seronegative) to the relevant HPV types at Day 1. Vaccine impact in girls and women who were positive for vaccine HPV infection, as well as vaccine impact among girls and women regardless of baseline vaccine HPV status and serostatus are also presented. The majority of CIN and genital warts, VIN, and VAIN related to a vaccine HPV type detected in the group that received GARDASIL occurred as a consequence of HPV infection with the relevant HPV type that was already present at Day 1.

There was no clear evidence of protection from disease caused by HPV types for which girls and women were PCR positive regardless of serostatus at baseline.

Table 14: Effectiveness of GARDASIL in Prevention of HPV 6, 11, 16, or 18-Related Genital Disease in Girls and Women 16 Through 26 Years of Age, Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine HPV Types

Endpoint	Analysis	GARDASIL or HPV 16 L1/VLP Vaccine		AAHS Control		% Reduction (95% CI)
		N	Cases	N	Cases	
HPV 16- or 18-related CIN 2/3 or AIS	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	9346	4	9407	155	97.4 (93.3, 99.3)
	HPV 16 and/or HPV 18 Positive at Day 1 ^b	2870	142	2898	148 ^c	– ^d
	Girls and Women Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine HPV Types ^e	9836	146	9904	303	51.8 (41.1, 60.7)
HPV 16- or 18-related VIN 2/3 or VAIN 2/3	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	8642	1	8673	34	97.0 (82.4, 99.9)
	HPV 16 and/or HPV 18 Positive at Day 1 ^b	1880	8	1876	4	– ^d
	Girls and Women Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine HPV Types ^e	8955	9	8968	38	76.3 (50.0, 89.9)
HPV 6, 11, 16, 18-related CIN 1, CIN 2/3, or AIS	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	8630	16	8680	309	94.8 (91.5, 97.1)
	HPV 6, HPV 11, HPV 16, and/or HPV 18 Positive at Day 1 ^b	2466	180 ^f	2437	213 ^g	– ^d
	Girls and Women Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine HPV Types ^e	8819	202	8854	522	61.5 (54.6, 67.4)
HPV 6, 11, 16, or 18-related Genital Warts	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	8761	10	8792	252	96.0 (92.6, 98.1)
	HPV 6, HPV 11, HPV 16, and/or HPV 18 Positive at Day 1 ^b	2501	51 ^h	2475	55 ⁱ	– ^d
	Girls and Women Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine HPV Types ^e	8955	61	8968	307	80.3 (73.9, 85.3)
HPV 6- or 11-related Genital Warts	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	7769	9	7792	246	96.4 (93.0, 98.4)
	HPV 6 and/or HPV 11 Positive at Day 1 ^b	1186	51	1176	54	– ^d
	Girls and Women Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine HPV Types ^e	8955	60	8968	300	80.1 (73.7, 85.2)

^aIncludes all individuals who received at least 1 vaccination and who were HPV-naïve (i.e., seronegative and PCR negative) at Day 1 to the vaccine HPV type being analyzed. Case counting started at 1 month postdose 1.

^bIncludes all individuals who received at least 1 vaccination and who were HPV positive or had unknown HPV status at Day 1, to at least one vaccine HPV type. Case counting started at Day 1.

^cOut of the 148 AAHS control cases of 16/18 CIN 2/3, 2 women were missing serology or PCR results by Day 1.

^dThere is no expected efficacy since GARDASIL has not been demonstrated to provide protection against disease from vaccine HPV types at which a person has previously been exposed through sexual activity.

^eIncludes all individuals who received at least 1 vaccination regardless of baseline HPV status at Day 1. Case counting started at 1 month postdose 1.

^fIncludes 2 AAHS control women with missing serology/PCR data at Day 1.

^gIncludes 1 woman with missing serology/PCR data at Day 1. CI = Confidence Interval

^hN = Number of individuals who received at least 1 vaccination and who were HPV positive or had unknown HPV status at Day 1.

ⁱNote 1: The 16- and 18-related CIN 2/3 or AIS composite endpoint included data from studies 1, 2, 3, and 4. All other endpoints only included data from studies 2, 3, and 4.

^jNote 2: Positive status at Day 1 denotes PCR positive and/or seropositive for the respective type at Day 1.

^kNote 3: Table 14 does not include disease due to non-vaccine HPV types.

AAHS Control = Amorphous Aluminum Hydroxyphosphate Sulfate

Efficacy of GARDASIL in Prevention of Any HPV Type-Related Genital Disease in Girls and Women 16 Through 26 Years of Age, Regardless of Current or Prior Infection with Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types

The impact of GARDASIL against the overall burden of dysplastic or papillomatous cervical, vulvar, and vaginal disease regardless of HPV detection, results from a combination of prophylactic efficacy against vaccine HPV types, disease contribution from vaccine HPV types present at time of vaccination, the disease contribution from HPV types not contained in the vaccine, and disease in which HPV was not detected. Additional efficacy analyses were conducted in 2 populations: (1) a generally HPV-naïve population (negative to 14 common HPV types and had a Pap test that was negative for SIL [Squamous Intraepithelial Lesion] at Day 1), approximating a population of sexually-naïve girls and women and (2) the general study population of girls and women regardless of baseline HPV status, some of whom had HPV-related disease at Day 1.

Among generally HPV-naïve girls and women and among all girls and women in the study population (including girls and women with HPV infection at Day 1), GARDASIL reduced the overall incidence of CIN 2/3 or AIS, of VIN 2/3 or VAIN 2/3 or CIN (any grade) or AIS, and of Genital Warts (Table 15). These reductions were primarily due to reductions in lesions caused by HPV types 6, 11, 16, and 18 in girls and women naive (seronegative and PCR negative) for the specific relevant vaccine HPV type. Infected girls and women may already have CIN 2/3 or AIS at Day 1 and some will develop CIN 2/3 or AIS during follow-up, either related to a vaccine or non-vaccine HPV type present at the time of vaccination or related to a non-vaccine HPV type not present at the time of vaccination.

Table 15: Effectiveness of GARDASIL in Prevention of Any HPV Type-Related Genital Disease in Girls and Women 16 Through 26 Years of Age, Regardless of Current or Prior Infection with Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types

Endpoints Caused by Vaccine or Non-vaccine HPV Types	Analysis	GARDASIL		AAHS Control		% Reduction (95% CI)
		N	Cases	N	Cases	
CIN 2/3 or AIS	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	4616	77	4680	136	42.7 (23.7, 57.3)
	Girls and Women Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^b	8559	421	8592	516	18.4 (7.0, 28.4)
	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	4688	7	4735	31	77.1 (47.1, 91.5)
VIN 2/3 and VAIN 2/3	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	8888	30	8701	61	50.7 (22.5, 69.3)
	Girls and Women Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^b	8888	30	8701	61	50.7 (22.5, 69.3)
	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	4616	272	4680	390	29.7 (17.7, 40.0)
CIN (Any Grade) or AIS	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	8559	967	8592	1189	19.1 (11.9, 25.8)
	Girls and Women Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^b	8559	967	8592	1189	19.1 (11.9, 25.8)
	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	4688	29	4735	169	82.8 (74.3, 88.8)
Genital Warts	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	8688	132	8701	350	62.5 (54.0, 69.5)
	Girls and Women Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^b	8688	132	8701	350	62.5 (54.0, 69.5)

^aIncludes all individuals who received at least 1 vaccination and who had a Pap test that was negative for SIL (Squamous Intraepithelial Lesion) at Day 1 and were naive to 14 common HPV types at Day 1. Case counting started at 1 month postdose 1.

^bIncludes all individuals who received at least 1 vaccination regardless of baseline HPV status or Pap test result at Day 1. Case counting started at 1 month postdose 1. CI = Confidence Interval

AAHS Control = Amorphous Aluminum Hydroxyphosphate Sulfate

14.5 Population Impact in Boys and Men 16 through 26 Years of Age

Efficacy of GARDASIL in Prevention of HPV Types 6, 11, 16, or 18-Related Anogenital Disease in Boys and Men 16 Through 26 Years of Age, Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine HPV Types

Study 5 included boys and men regardless of current or prior exposure to vaccine HPV types, and additional analyses were conducted to evaluate the impact of GARDASIL with respect to HPV 6, 11, 16, and 18-related anogenital disease in these boys and men. Here, analyses included events arising among boys and men regardless of baseline PCR status and serostatus, including HPV infections that were present at the start of vaccination as well as events that arose from infections that were acquired after the start of vaccination.

The impact of GARDASIL in boys and men regardless of current or prior exposure to vaccine HPV types is shown in Table 16. Impact was measured starting at Day 1. Prophylactic efficacy denotes the vaccine's efficacy in boys and men who are naive (PCR negative and seronegative) to the relevant HPV types at Day 1. Vaccine impact in boys and men who were positive for vaccine HPV infection, as well as vaccine impact among boys and men regardless of baseline vaccine HPV status and serostatus are also presented. The majority of anogenital disease related to a vaccine HPV type detected in the group that received GARDASIL occurred as a consequence of HPV infection with the relevant HPV type that was already present at Day 1.

There was no clear evidence of protection from disease caused by HPV types for which boys and men were PCR positive regardless of serostatus at baseline.

Table 16: Effectiveness of GARDASIL in Prevention of HPV Types 6, 11, 16, or 18-Related Anogenital Disease in Boys and Men 16 through 26 Years of Age, Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine HPV Types

Endpoint	Analysis	GARDASIL		AAHS Control		% Reduction (95% CI)
		N	Cases	N	Cases	
External Genital Lesions	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	1775	13	1770	54	76.3 (56.0, 88.1)
	HPV 6, HPV 11, HPV 16, and/or HPV 18 Positive at Day 1 ^b	460	14	453	26	– ^d
	Boys and Men Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^c	1943	27	1937	80	66.7 (48.0, 79.3)
Condyloima	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	1775	10	1770	49	80.0 (59.9, 90.8)
	HPV 6, HPV 11, HPV 16, and/or HPV 18 Positive at Day 1 ^b	460	14	453	25	– ^d
	Boys and Men Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^c	1943	24	1937	74	68.1 (48.8, 80.7)
PN 1/2/3	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	1775	4	1770	5	20.7 (2.68, 84.3)
	HPV 6, HPV 11, HPV 16, and/or HPV 18 Positive at Day 1 ^b	460	2	453	1	– ^d
	Boys and Men Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^c	1943	6	1937	6	0.3 (27.2, 73.4)
AIN 1/2/3	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	259	9	261	39	76.9 (51.4, 90.1)
	HPV 6, HPV 11, HPV 16, and/or HPV 18 Positive at Day 1 ^b	103	29	116	38	– ^d
	Boys and Men Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^c	275	38	276	77	50.3 (25.7, 67.2)
AIN 2/3	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	259	7	261	19	62.5 (6.9, 86.7)
	HPV 6, HPV 11, HPV 16, and/or HPV 18 Positive at Day 1 ^b	103	11	116	20	– ^d
	Boys and Men Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^c	275	18	276	39	54.2 (18.0, 75.3)

^aIncludes all individuals who received at least 1 vaccination and who were HPV-naïve (i.e., seronegative and PCR negative) at Day 1 to the vaccine HPV type being analyzed. Case counting started at Day 1.

^bIncludes all individuals who received at least 1 vaccination and who were HPV positive or had unknown HPV status at Day 1.

^cThere is no expected efficacy since GARDASIL has not been demonstrated to provide protection against disease from vaccine HPV types at which a person has previously been exposed through sexual activity.

^dIncludes all individuals who received at least 1 vaccination. Case counting started at Day 1.

CI = Confidence Interval

AAHS Control = Amorphous Aluminum Hydroxyphosphate Sulfate

Efficacy of GARDASIL in Prevention of Any HPV Type-Related Anogenital Disease in Boys and Men 16 through 26 Years of Age, Regardless of Current or Prior Infection with Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types

The impact of GARDASIL against the overall burden of dysplastic or papillomatous anogenital disease regardless of HPV detection, results from a combination of prophylactic efficacy against vaccine HPV types, disease contribution from vaccine HPV types present at time of vaccination, the disease contribution from HPV types not contained in the vaccine, and disease in which HPV was not detected. Additional efficacy analyses from Study 5 were conducted in 2 populations: (1) a generally HPV-naïve population that consisted of boys and men who were seronegative and PCR negative to HPV 6, 11, 16, and 18 and PCR negative to HPV 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 58, 59, and 59.1 at Day 1, approximating a population of sexually-naïve boys and men and (2) the general study population of boys and men regardless of baseline HPV status, some of whom had HPV-related disease at Day 1.

Among generally HPV-naïve boys and men and among all boys and men in Study 5 (including boys and men with HPV infection at Day 1), GARDASIL reduced the overall incidence of anogenital disease (Table 17). These reductions were primarily due to reductions in lesions caused by HPV types 6, 11, 16, and 18 in boys and men naive (seronegative and PCR negative) for the specific relevant vaccine HPV type. Infected boys and men may already have anogenital disease at Day 1 and some will develop anogenital disease during follow-up, either related to a vaccine or non-vaccine HPV type present at the time of vaccination or related to a non-vaccine HPV type not present at the time of vaccination.

Table 17: Effectiveness of GARDASIL in Prevention of Any HPV Type-Related Anogenital Disease in Boys and Men 16 through 26 Years of Age, Regardless of Current or Prior Infection with Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types

Endpoint	Analysis	GARDASIL		AAHS Control		% Reduction (95% CI)
		N	Cases	N	Cases	
External Genital Lesions	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	1275	7	1270	37	81.5 (80.1, 93.0)
	Boys and Men Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^b	1943	38	1937	92	59.3 (40.0, 72.9)
	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	1275	5	1270	33	85.2 (61.8, 95.5)
Condyloima	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	1943	33	1937	85	61.8 (42.3, 75.3)
	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	1275	2	1270	4	50.7 (24.4, 95.5)
	Boys and Men Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^b	1943	8	1937	7	13.1 (2.60, 63.9)
PN 1/2/3	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	129	12	126	28	54.9 (8.4, 79.1)
	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	129	12	126	28	54.9 (8.4, 79.1)
	Boys and Men Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^b	275	74	276	103	25.7 (1.1, 45.6)
AIN 1/2/3	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	129	8	126	18	52.5 (14.8, 82.1)
	Prophylactic Efficacy ^a	129	8	126	18	52.5 (14.8, 82.1)
	Boys and Men Regardless of Current or Prior Exposure to Vaccine or Non-Vaccine HPV Types ^b	275	44	276	59	24.3 (13.8, 50.0)

^aIncludes all individuals who received at least 1 vaccination and who were seronegative and PCR negative at enrollment to HPV 6, 11, 16 and 18, and PCR negative at enrollment to HPV 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 58, 59 and 59.1. Case counting started at Day 1.

^bIncludes all individuals who received at least 1 vaccination. Case counting started at Day 1. CI = Confidence Interval

AAHS Control = Amorphous Aluminum Hydroxyphosphate Sulfate

14.6 Overall Population Impact

The subject characteristics (e.g., lifetime sex partners, geographic distribution of the subjects) influence the HPV prevalence of the population and therefore the population benefit can vary widely. The overall efficacy of GARDASIL with respect to the baseline prevalence of HPV infection and disease, the incidence of infections against which GARDASIL has shown protection, and those infections against which GARDASIL has not been shown to protect.

The efficacy of GARDASIL for HPV types not included in the vaccine (i.e., cross-protective efficacy) is a component of the overall impact of the vaccine on rates of disease caused by HPV. Cross-protective efficacy was not demonstrated against disease caused by non-vaccine HPV types in the combined database of the Study 3 and Study 4 trials.

GARDASIL does not protect against genital disease not related to HPV. One woman who received GARDASIL in Study 3 developed an external genital well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma at Month 24. No HPV DNA was detected in the lesion or in any other samples taken throughout the study.

In 18,150 girls and women enrolled in Study 2, Study 3, and Study 4, GARDASIL reduced definitive cervical therapy procedures by 23.9% (95% CI: 15.2%, 31.7%).

14.7 Studies in Women 27 through 45 Years of Age

Study 6 evaluated efficacy in 3253 women 27 through 45 years of age based on a combined endpoint of HPV 6, 11, 16, or 18-related persistent infection, genital warts, vulvar and vaginal dysplastic lesions of any grade, CIN of any grade, AIS, and cervical cancer. The population of women was randomized (1) to receive either GARDASIL or AAHS control. The efficacy for the combined endpoint was driven primarily by prevention of persistent infection. There was no statistically significant efficacy demonstrated for CIN 2/3, AIS, or cervical cancer in the post hoc analyses conducted to assess the impact of GARDASIL on the individual components of the combined endpoint, the results in these women of women naive to the relevant HPV type at baseline were as follows: prevention of HPV 6, 11, 16, or 18-related persistent infection (80.5% (95% CI: 68.3, 88.6)), prevention of HPV 6, 11, 16, or 18-related CIN (any grade) (85.9% (95% CI: 52.4, 97.3)), and prevention of HPV 6, 11, 16, or 18-related genital warts (87.6% (95% CI: 73.3, 93.7)).

Efficacy for disease endpoints was diminished in a population impact assessment of women who were vaccinated regardless of baseline HPV status (full analysis set). In the full analysis set (FAS), efficacy was not demonstrated for the following endpoints: prevention of HPV 16, 18-related CIN 2/3, AIS, or cervical cancer and prevention of HPV 6 and 11-related condyloma. No efficacy was demonstrated against CIN 2/3, AIS, or cervical cancer in the general population irrespective of HPV type (FAS any type analysis).

14.8 Immunogenicity

Assays to Measure Immune Response

The minimum anti-HPV titer that confers protective efficacy has not been determined. Because there were few disease cases in individuals naive (PCR negative and seronegative) to vaccine HPV types at baseline in the group that received GARDASIL, it has not been possible to establish minimum anti-HPV 6, anti-HPV 11, anti-HPV 16, and anti-HPV 18 antibody levels that protect against clinical disease caused by HPV 6, 11, 16, and/or 18.

The immunogenicity of GARDASIL was assessed in 23,959 9- through 45-year-old girls and women (GARDASIL N = 12,634; AAHS control or saline placebo N = 11,317) and 54179 26- through 26-year-old boys and men (GARDASIL N = 3109; AAHS control or saline placebo N = 2308).

Type-specific immunosays with type-specific standards were used to assess immunogenicity to each vaccine HPV type. These assays measured antibodies against neutralizing epitopes for each HPV type. The scales for these assays are unique to each HPV type; thus, comparisons across types and to other assays are not appropriate.

Immune Response to GARDASIL

The primary immunogenicity analyses were conducted in a per-protocol immunogenicity (PPI) population. This population consisted of individuals who were seronegative and PCR negative to the relevant HPV type at enrollment, remained HPV PCR negative to the relevant HPV type through 1 month postdose 3 (Month 7), received all 3 vaccinations, and did not deviate from the study protocol in ways that could interfere with the effects of the vaccine.

Immunogenicity was measured by (1) the percentage of individuals who were seropositive for antibodies against the relevant vaccine HPV type, and (2) the Geometric Mean Titer (GMT).

In clinical studies in 16- through 26-year-old girls and women, 99.8%, 99.8%, 99.8%, and 99.4% who received GARDASIL became anti-HPV 6, anti-HPV 11, anti-HPV 16, and anti-HPV 18 seropositive, respectively, by 1 month postdose 3 across all age groups tested.

In clinical studies in 27- through 45-year-old women, 98.2%, 97.9%, 98.6%, and 97.1% who received GARDASIL became anti-HPV 6, anti-HPV 11, anti-HPV 16, and anti-HPV 18 seropositive, respectively, by 1 month postdose 3 across all age groups tested.

In clinical studies in 16- through 26-year-old boys and men, 98.9%, 99.2%, 98.8%, and 97.4% who received GARDASIL became anti-HPV 6, anti-HPV 11, anti-HPV 16, and anti-HPV 18 seropositive, respectively, by 1 month postdose 3 across all age groups tested.

Across all populations, anti-HPV 6, anti-HPV 11, anti-HPV 16, and anti-HPV 18 GMTs peaked at Month 7 (Table 18 and Table 19). GMTs declined through Month 2 and then stabilized through Month 36 at levels above baseline. Tables 20 and 21 display the persistence of anti-HPV cLIA geometric mean titers by gender and age group. The duration of immunity following